

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/519,632 | 12/28/2004 | | Arno Friedrichs | FRIEDRICHS A-3 PCT | FRIEDRICHS A-3 PCT 7846 | |
| 25889 | 7590 | 03/16/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| WILLIAM (| | | TOLAN, EDWA | ARD THOMAS | | |
| COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD | | | | | PAPER NUMBER | |
| ROSLYN, N | Y 11576 | | 3725 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/519,632 | FRIEDRICHS, ARNO | | | | |
| Onice Action Gainmary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication and | Edward Tolan | 3725 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2004 is/are applicant represent that application to the content of the | vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-28-2004. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/519,632

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 1, last paragraph claim numbers are referred to.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is generally narrative and indefinite. It appears to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and has grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Paragraph 3 is awkward and "in radial direction" in the last line of the claim has missing terminology.

Claim 1 recites the limitations "the interior thereof" in line 3, "the number of internal recesses" in line 7 and "the mass flow" in line 10, there is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the radial adjustability" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,632

Art Unit: 3725

Claim 6 recites the limitations "the spacing" in line 4, "the surface thereof" in line 5, "the output signals" in line 5 and "the determined spacing" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedrichs (5,438,858) in view of Deitz, Jr. (2,241,543). Friedrichs discloses an extruder for producing a cylindrical body having at least one internal recess (14). The extruder comprises a tapering extruder nozzle (2) and a cylindrical channel (3) forming a nozzle mouthpiece. A rotatable or non-rotatable carrier (6) having a number of carrier elements (9) is positioned in the extruder nozzle. The channel (3) can rotate relative to the carrier or both can rotate. The carrier elements (9) form internal recesses (14) and may contain volatile filler material (column 4, lines 10-20). Regarding claims 7-9, the carrier elements are round thread elements fixed to the carrier. Friedrichs does not disclose that the carrier is radially adjustable. Dietz teaches a carrier (40) with a tapering carrier element (46) for supplying a plastic material or solid core to an extrusion which is extruded through a nozzle (43) in an extrusion chamber (23). The carrier element is radially adjustable by threaded screw means (50,60) which project into the

Application/Control Number: 10/519,632

Art Unit: 3725

chamber. The carrier element is adjustable during operation (page 3, column 1, lines 66-75). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to radially adjust the carrier and carrier elements of Friedrichs as taught by Dietz in order to compensate for flow characteristics during extrusion forming.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and overcoming the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection. The prior art of record does not disclose a sensor for determining a spacing of an internal recess from an outer surface of a cylindrical extrusion and outputting signals from the sensor to a setting unit that adjusts a position of carrier elements.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose telephone number is 571-272-4525. FAX communications should be sent to 571-273-8300.

EDTOLAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

rall